



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,937	11/28/2001	David M. Anderson	05900002AA	7327

7590 02/26/2009
Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, PC
11491 Sunset Hills Road - #430
Reston, VA 20190

EXAMINER

FISHER, ABIGAIL L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1616

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/26/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/994,937	Applicant(s) ANDERSON, DAVID M.	
	Examiner ABIGAIL FISHER	Art Unit 1616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ABIGAIL FISHER. (3) MICHAEL WHITHAM.

(2) MINA HAGHIGHATIAN. (4) DAVID ANDERSON.

(5) JEFF GALLAGHER.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: photographs of comparison to Landh.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: Landh and Benet.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed comparison of the instant invention to that of Landh with essential oils (specifically spearmint oil) incorporated therein. Discussed filing of a declaration with the comparison data. Proposed amendments were discussed, specifically limitation of the surfactants and that the compounds are solubilized.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Abigail Fisher/ Examiner, Art Unit 1616	
---	--